(b) if so, what are the details thereof; and

(c) what are the details of the steps taken by Government to help the State Government in this matter, both in cash and in land?

THE MINISTER OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI BUTA SINGH): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) and (c) The details are given in the Statement atteched. *(Se$_e$ below).* . .

Statement

(b) On 5th May, 1986 the matter was taken up with Bangladesh High Commissioner in New Delhi and he was asked to convey our concern to his Government and request them to arrange for immediate return of the refugees. Also 23rd May, 1986 Foreign Secretary spoke to the Bangladesh High Commissioner about the continued inbux and delay in repatri ation of refugees. During the recent visit of Bangladesh President, the matter was taken up with him by the Home Minister. He has assured the Home Minister of that all tribal refugees will be taken back.

(c) The strength of BSF has been increased to prevent further influx. An amount of Rs. 51 lakhs has been sanctioned to the Government of Tripura for meeting the expenditure on the maintenance of refugees.

Contract for Photocopying of Secret Documents of B.E.L.

*16. SHRI MOSTAFA BIN QUASEM: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the management of the Bharat Electronics Limited. Bangalore have recently given a sub-contract for the photocopying or typing of some secret defence documents though the Company has got typists and photocopying machines inside the factory;

(b)[1] if so, what are the reasons therefor;

(c) when the decision in this retfar* was taken; and

(d) whether a review of the decision is likely to be made soon?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENCE PRODUCTION AND DEFENCE SUPPLIES (SHRI SUKH RAM): (a) No, Sir.

(bj to (d)' Do not arise.

Promotions in Delhi Police

*I7. SHRI F. M. KHAN: Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that some officers have been promoted in Delhi Police recently in the ranks of ACP;

(b) if so. what are th$_e$ details thereof;

(b) if so, what are the details there some junior officers have been promoted while senior officers have been deprived of promotion in spite of the fact that their service records were clean;

(d) if so, what are the reasons therefor;

(d)' whether Government propose t« give promotions seniority-wise to avoid frustration among the senior police officers who were not promoted; and

(f) whether Government have re^ ceived any representation in this regard; if so, the details thereof ansi the action taken thereon?

THE MINISTER OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI BUTA SINGH); (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) to (f) A statement is laid OK. the Table of ihe Hous;. *(See below).*

Statement

A list of officers recently promoted as Assistant Commissioner of Police/ Dy. SP. under Delhi Administration and Andaman and Nicobar administration respectively i$_s$ annexed. *(See below).*

According to sub-rule (1) of rule 14 and rule 24 of Delhi, Andaman andi

Nicobar Ialands Police Service Rules, 1971, appointments to the post of Assistant Commissioners of Police under Delhi Administration and Deputy Superintendent of Police under Andaman Administration are made on the recommendations of a Committee headed by a member of U.P.S.C. The selections are based on merit and suitability in all respects with due regard to seniority.

It would not be advisable to dilute the standards for making appointments to the post of Assistant Commissioner of Police under Delhi Administration and Dy. S.P. under Andaman Administration.

Government has received representations from S/Shri Hazura Singh, Daya Kishan and Jagdish Chander for their appointment to the post of Assistant Commissioner of Police. All these officers were in the zone of consideration for officiating vacancies. They were duly considered for such appointment but they were not recommended for appointment during selection made in the manner prescribed in Rule 24 of DANI Police Service Rules, 1971. Hence their representations could not be accepted.

Statement

Persons appointed against officiating vacancies

S. No.	Name
	S/Shri
1.	Diwakar Rai
2.	Bal Krishan Tanwar
3.	Guru Dutt
4.	Ram Saran Dewan
5.	Bhagwant Singh
6.	Chhotu Ram
7.	Gurbax Rai
8.	Ram Sarup
9.	Khem Raj Dutt
10.	Smt. Santosh Thukral
11.	Brij Pal Singh
12.	Trilok Singh Thapa
13.	Daljit Singh

Persons appointed against officiating vacancies

S. No.	Name
	S/Shri
1.	Joginder Singh
2.	Piare Lal
3.	S. N. Gupta
4.	Prem Kishan
5.	Tara Chand
6.	M. L. Nijawan
7.	Kewal Krishan
8.	Richpal Singh Bhatia
9.	Uma Shankar Mathur
10.	Chuni Lal
11.	Sat Dev Sharma
12.	Ram Lubhya
13.	M. C. Gupta
14.	Amir Singh
15.	Dalip Chand
16.	Om Parkash
17.	Trilok Singh Sodhi
18.	Kanchi Singh
19.	Surinder Singh
20.	Virender Singh
21.	Jarnail Singh
22.	Sham Lal Singh
23.	Rajinder Singh
24.	Munshi Ram
25.	Ved Prakash
26.	Kharaiti Ram
27.	Bhupinder Kumar
28.	Om Prakash
29.	Lala Ram (SC)
30.	Kailash Chander Behl
31.	Parbhati Lal (SC)
32.	Santosh Kumar
33.	Ashok Chander Sikka
34.	Jagdish Singh, D-I/253
35.	Jagdish Singh, D-I/254
36.	Prahlad Rai Sodhi
37.	Sham Dev
38.	Banwari Lal
39.	Amar Nath Nayar
40.	Kushal Pal Singh
41.	Baljit Singh

S. No.	Name
42.	Kali Ram (SC)
43.	Som Prakash
44.	Phool Singh (SC)
45.	Khan Chand (SC)
46.	Harmit Singh (SC)
47.	Murari Lal (S.C.)
48.	Zile Singh (SC)
49.	Jai Chand (SC)
50.	Banarsi Dass (SC)
51.	Dharam Singh (SC)
52.	Hari Singh (SC)
53.	Pratap Singh

Giants to voluntary organisations for promoting tbe cause of national Integration

•18. DR. MOHD. HASHIM KIDWAI: Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) the names of voluntary organisations and agencies to whom grants had been sanctioned by Government in 1984 and 1985 to promote the cause of national integration and communal harmony;

(b) the amounts sanctioned to each such organisation or agency during 1984 and 1985; and

(c) whether Government have assessed the performance of these organisations; if so, what are the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI BUTA SINGH): (a.) and (b) A statement is laid on the Table [1] of the House. *(See below).*

(c) A grant-in-aid under OTC Scheme is of non-recurring nature and utilisation certificates are received from the organisation certifying the proper utilisation of the funds.

Statement

List of organisations sanctioned Grant-in-aid for 1984-85

		Rs.
1.	Fakrudhin Ali Ahmed Memorial Committee, New Delhi	35,000
2.	Prantiya Samaj Kalyan Ashram, North Lakhimpur	7,000
3.	All India Scheduled Castes Federation, New Delhi	15,000
4.	Sur Smarak Mandal, Agra (UP)	7,500
5.	Anand Niketan, Distt. Howrah (WB)	15,000
6.	Gandhi Memorial Committee Calcutta (WB)	15,000
7.	Anjuman Sair-e-Gul Faroshan, New Delhi	2,500
8.	Bajali Pragati Sangha, Pathasala (Assam)	2,500
9.	Punjab Association, Madras	14,000
10.	Calcutta University National Integration Council, Calcutta	25,000
11.	Bajali Mahila Samity, Assam	2,500
12.	Kendriya Nehru Smarak Parishad, Lucknow	15,000
13.	The Rural Development Organisation, Manipur	4,000
14.	Janajati Samaj Kalyan Ashram, Assam	2,500
15.	Akhil Bharatiya Ekta Parishad, Lucknow	15,000
16.	Dulal Smriti Samsad, Hooghly	800
17.	Qaumi Ekta Trust, New Delhi	14,000
18.	Ghamora Model Satra Hills and Plains Cultural Institution, Assam	6,000
19.	Indian National Integration Board, Hyderabad	15,000